IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

WRIT PETITION NO : 24567 of 2003

Between:

S.R.C. Constructions rep. by its Managing Director D.Rajareddy, S/o. Venkata Reddy, R/o. Sanjeevaiah Co-operative Society, Sikh Village, Secunderabad.

... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Government of Andhra Pradesh rep. by its Secretary, Road Buildings

Department, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner, Commissioner Tenders, BRKR Bhavan, Hyderab
3 The Chief Engineer, Roads and Buildings (Road) Erramanzil, Hyderabad.
4 The Chief Engineers, Roads and Buildings, (National highways), Hyderabad.
5 The Superintending Engineer, Irrigation and Command Area Development, Telugu Ganga Project, Nandyal Kurnool District.
6 The Superintending Engineer (Road & Buildings), National High Ways Circle, Nizamabad.
7 The Superintending Engineer Panchayatraj Circle, Ananthapur.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court be pleased to issue a writ or order or directions more particularly one in the nature of a writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction of the respondents 1 and 2 in not renewing the registration of the petitioner as Class i Contractor pursuant to application Dated:29-9-2003 submitted by the Petitioner in terms of G.O.Ms.No.8 TR and B (Roads-I) Department, Dated: 8-1-2003 and G.O.Ms.No.94, dated:1-7-2003 issued by the 1st Respondent as arbitrary, illegal and unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondents to forthwith renew the registration of the petitioner as Class I constructor pursuant to application of the petitioner Dated:29-9-2003.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.T.NAGARJUNA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR ROADS & BUILDINGS

The Court made the following order:

ORDER:

-

-

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the subject matter of the writ petition has become infructuous and there survives no cause for adjudication.

Recording the above submission, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs.

_____

V. ESWARAIAH, J

April 25, 2005

Dsk

To

1 The Government of Andhra Pradesh rep. by its Secretary, Road Buildings

Department, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner, Commissioner Tenders, BRKR Bhavan, Hyderab
3 The Chief Engineer, Roads and Buildings (Road) Erramanzil, Hyderabad.
4 The Chief Engineers, Roads and Buildings, (National highways), Hyderabad.
5 The Superintending Engineer, Irrigation and Command Area Development, Telugu Ganga Project, Nandyal Kurnool District.
6 The Superintending Engineer (Road & Buildings), National High Ways Circle, Nizamabad.
7 The Superintending Engineer Panchayatraj Circle, Ananthapur.

8 Two CCs to GP For Roads and Buildings, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad

(OUT)

Two CD Copies.